other areas of suffragette violence and industrial unrest, as though he knows there is a good tale to tell but gets a bit confused in the telling.

Dangerfield's analysis has of course been discredited by more recent historians. The period from 1906–14 has been recognised as a time of fundamental strength for the Liberal Party, with the emergence of the New Liberalism and the implementation of a reforming legislative programme after 1908. The Liberal vote remained strong in areas of traditional support, despite the growth of the Labour Party. Focus has shifted away from analysis of the prewar era to explain Liberal decline. The effects of the war itself, the internal Asquith/Lloyd George split and the emergence of mass democracy after 1918 have come to be seen as the competing elements in the demise of the Liberal Party.

And therein also lies one of the problems with Dangerfield's book. Is he just dealing with the electoral eclipse of the Liberal Party? Or, perhaps, just the failure of the Liberal government? He seems to be searching for something more, trying to chart a fundamental change in British politics and society, from a liberal society based upon reason, toleration and the primacy of the individual to something else – presumably one based upon the collective identity and ideology of class. Dangerfield conflates these wider social questions with the narrower electoral fate of one political party and its problems in government. Of course the issues are linked; the one illuminates the other, but they are not the same thing and Dangerfield keeps mixing them up. Here is Dangerfield on social change:

In the streets of London the last horsebus clattered towards extinction. The aeroplane called forth exclamations of rapture and alarm There was talk of wild young people of night clubs; of negroid dances. People gazed in horror at the paintings of Gauguin, and listened with delighted alarm to the barbaric measures of Stravinsky. The old order, the old bland world was dying fast and the Parliament Act was its not too premature obituary.' (pp 65–66).

It is prose like this, the literary legacy of history in Dangerfield's era, which makes this book so readable. The analysis may be flawed and the conclusions out of date, but like all good history it contains truths and insights which endure. This new edition provides a very welcome opportunity for Dangerfield's work to be revisited by all students of liberal history.

Building the Party Don Maclver (ed), The Liberal Democrats

(Prentice Hall, 1996) Reviewed by Tony Little

The strained birth of the Liberal Democrats ensured that the infant party struggled over its first few years and it enjoyed little of the glow of the limelight which blessed the arrival of the SDP. In consequence, there seems to have been little study of how the new party was put together and how it has developed. Consequently, this collection of essays is very welcome and would serve as a sound introduction to any new member who wanted some background as to how the party ticks. Strictly speaking this is a work of contemporary politics rather than of history but since the editor of this Journal is a contributor it would seem churlish not to mention it. But like every collection of essays, some parts are tastier than others. The introduction is a sound summary of the background to the party, the traditions it inherits and the dilemmas it faces. The book list at the end is comprehensive and would serve any new or old member as a solid programme for their leisure hours.

The two chapters by Jones and Steed on the thought and tradition of the party both lay out the roots of the party in New Liberal thinking, from the turn of the century, and something of the contribution made by social democrat thought. As someone from a Liberal background, I felt slightly disappointed at the limited attention both paid to the social democrat side. The threat from Dr Owen meant that the new party had to be tough and more practical in its policies than historically Liberal assemblies had been, but, philosophically, what did the social democrats bring to the party?

I was more seriously disappointed that neither of these two authors focused more on the Gladstonian traditions of the party. The political agenda in the 1980s and 1990s has been driven by a Thatcherite perversion of that tradition. Even the Labour government has adapted to it. So has Paddy Ashdown and the party's economic spokesmen, but it goes against the grain of a Liberal Democrat conference and activists who began their careers under Butskellism. Brack's piece on policymaking highlights some of the tensions this creates and has benefited from an ability to speak openly now that he does not bear official responsibility for policy creation.

The strength of the collection lies with those who have had practical experience of politics, and the weakness is with the purer academics. The Bennie, Curtice and Rudig survey of membership is fascinating in an 'I never knew that' sort of way and highlights the need to recruit across a wider spectrum of ages and backgrounds if we are to break out of a middle class, middle age, public sector support system. To my mind, McKee's essay on factions and groups in the party has relied too heavily on official briefings, recognising but not fully understanding the tolerance extended by one part of the party for the others, underestimating the importance of ALDC and making too much of the noisy but ineffective Chard Group.

As always with a work on contemporary politics the publishing schedule has meant that some items are already stale, such as the Tower Hamlets case, and the emphasis placed on balanced councils rather than those where Lib Dems enjoy a majority. Even so, while the survey of balanced councils must reflect the accuracy of the answers given, I felt that Temple might have spent more time covering a smaller sample of councils in more depth, to convey some of the sweat of the committee room, the passion of the council chamber and the frustration of members and officers alike in managing a hung council.

A somewhat bigger omission is in the coverage of the parliamentary party. MacIver makes us acutely aware of the difficulties of formulating an effective electoral strategy for winning parliamentary seats, but the book needs a survey of the strategies open to the parliamentary party within Westminster and of the work of our parliamentarians. Currently they

Archive Sources

The Liberal Democrat History Group aims to develop and publish a guide to archive sources for students of the history of the Liberal Democrats and its predecessor parties.

We would like to hear from anyone knowing the whereabouts of any relevant archive material, including the records of local and regional parties and internal groups. Please write to Dr Geoffrey Sell at the address below.

Recently we have received information on two sources:

- Records deposited in the *Dorset County Record Office*: including minute books, year books, newsletters, election publications and *Focus* leaflets. Most of the material dates from the 1960s, '70s and '80s, but some goes as far back as 1906.
- Records deposited in the *Dundee City archives;* contains material dating back to Winston Churchill's period as MP for the city.

Any researcher needing more information should contact Dr Geoffrey Sell at 5 Spencer Close, Stansted, Essex CM24 8AS.

work well as press spokesmen for the party and, I am sure, as community politicians in their constituencies. They are effective cheerleaders for the membership but what do they do all day at Westminster and what good is it for the country or the party? This question is the more important now that we are more substantially represented in Parliament. I am sure there is scope for MPs to learn from the strategies of effective council groups which have grown and consolidated their electoral strength. If there is ever a hung parliament I hope they will draw on the extensive council experience available.

It is easy to criticise any book with such a wide range of contributors, but

The Struggle for Women's Rights with Johanna Alberti (Newcastle University) and Shirley Williams

A Liberal Democrat History Group Fringe Meeting

8.00–9.30pm, Friday 13 March Royal Clifton Hotel, Southport it would be churlish not to commend MacIver and his team for getting this book written and more importantly, published. Members should buy their own and order copies through their local library to help stimulate interest in the party.

Liberals Unite

continued from page 6

For further details contact Liberal International, 1 Whitehall Place, London SW_{1A} 2HD; tel: +44 171 839 5905; fax: + 44 171 925 2685; email: worldlib@cix.co.uk.

Notes:

- This research is based primarily on material in the LI archive held in the Archives of the Theodor Heuss Akademie in Gummersbach, but also draws on John H. MacCallum Scott, *Experiment in Internationalism – A Study in International Politics* (London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd., 1967).
- 2 See Michael Steed, 'The Liberal Parties in Italy, France, Germany and the UK' in Roger Morgan and Stefano Silvestri, eds., Moderates and Conservatives in Western Europe: Political Parties, the European Community and the Atlantic Alliance (London: Heinemann Educational Books, 1982).
- 3 Owing to their respective domestic situations, the representatives from Estonia, Hungary and Spain were all exiles.